UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,398	10/10/2000	James Richard Kraemer	RSW9-2000-0107-US1	7911	
7590 12/05/2007 Esther H. Chong, Esquire Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP			EXAMINER		
			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
2600 Aramark '		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Philadelphia, P.		3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/685,398	KRAEMER, JAMES RICHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691		

	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, a ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for tter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ing date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	at of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi date of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		viii be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	L	ALITA M. HAMILTON	-				

XAMINER

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that neither Jones nor Bove disclose or teach the transmission of a list identifying a recommended rebalancing transaction or transmitting to a customer...a list comprising at least one recommended transaction; the particular method used to contact the customer being defined by that customer; automatically retransmitting an alert message to the customer via a secondary method if the step of transmitting via the first communication method was not successfully executed; the customer's response constitutes performing a single action by the customer; the customer's response is contained in a return email from the customer, wherein the return e-mail includes a transaction number identifying the list of recommended rebalancing transactions; the customer's response is received on paper and includes an optical code for retrieving the list; or the second unit for transmitting the alert message and the list of a plurality of recommended rebalancing transactions to the customer, receiving a single response of the customer to the transmitted alert message, and automatically implementing the list of multiple transactions based on the received customer's response. In response, Jones discloses the transmission of a list identifying a recommended rebalancing transaction or transmitting to a customer...a list comprising at least one recommended transaction (one or more financial products are transmitted to the user by an alert triggered by the plan monitoring module-col.27, lines 50-55); the particular method used to contact the customer being defined by that customer (the alerts may be transmitted to the user via telephone, e-mail, fax, or standard mail messaging-col.7, lines 4-8); automatically retransmitting an alert message to the customer via a secondary method if the step of transmitting via the first communication method was not successfully executed (the alert may be generated to notify the user of the advice and/or need for affirmative action; the alert may be displayed during a subsequent user session or transmitted immediately by telephone, e-mail, fax, or standard mail messaging-col.28, lines 30-37); the customer's response constitutes performing a single action by the customer (the alert is generated to notify the customer of advice and/or affirmative action needed, and the user chooses whether or not to implement the suggestion, such as in a subsequent user session-col.28, lines 30-37); the customer's response is contained in a return email from the customer, wherein the return e-mail includes a transaction number identifying the list of recommended rebalancing transactions; the customer's response is received on paper and includes an optical code for retrieving the list (the user is transmitted an alert of advice or affirmative action via email, and the user chooses to implement the advice or take actioncol.28, lines 30-37); and the second unit for transmitting the alert message and the list of a plurality of recommended rebalancing transactions to the customer, receiving a single response of the customer to the transmitted alert message, and automatically implementing the list of multiple transactions based on the received customer's response (the alert message is sent to the customer with a list of recommended products, and the user may implement the advice during a subsequent user session or other communication meanscol.27, lines 50-55 and col.28, lines 30-37). . .

> LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER